

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2010
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S175532

**UTILITY CONSUMERS'
ACTION NETWORK v.
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
(SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY)**

Briefing ordered in previously Held case

The petition for writ of review was held in abeyance on December 17, 2009 and further action was deferred pending resolution of the cause pending before the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One. The decision in that matter was filed on August 17, 2010. Respondent in the present case is now ordered to serve and file an answer to the petition for writ of review on or before November 5, 2010. Petitioners are ordered to file a reply to the answer to petition for writ of review on or before December 7, 2010.

S184287

G040459 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. REISWIG (JANET
SUE & RONALD EDWARD)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2010.

S184604

B221896 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

**EDWARDS (CORNELIUS) ON
H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2010.

S184818

B212364 Second Appellate District, Div. 2

**WILSON (TYRINE) v. SON
(KOOMIE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2010.

S184864 H032824 Sixth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ
(RICHARD ANTHONY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2010.

S184971 H030110 Sixth Appellate District

**SONNE (GORDON ALBERT
& THERESSA LYNN),
MARRIAGE OF**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 29, 2010.

S184995 G041702 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**FAULKINBURY (JOSIE) v.
BOYD & ASSOCIATES, INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 1, 2010.

S185001 F056620 Fifth Appellate District

**CLARK (BARBARA) v. SAN
JOAQUIN COMMUNITY
HOSPITAL**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 1, 2010.

S185019 E040123 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

**PEOPLE v. NORIEGA
(DANIEL LORETO)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2010.

S185034 B212975 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

**BAKER (EDWIN) v.
AMERICAN
HORTICULTURE SUPPLY,
INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 1, 2010.

S185048 B218425 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

PORTIS (JOHNNIE) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2010.

S185175 A127536 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
FIRE PROTECTION
DISTRICT v. WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD & MINVIELLE
(RANDALL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2010.

S185204 D054522 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PENNSYLVANIA GENERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY v.
AMERICAN SAFETY
INDEMNITY COMPANY
(NATIONAL UNION FIRE
INSURANCE COMPANY OF
PITTSBURGH)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2010.

S185213 A124897 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. BROWN
(HAROLD ISAAC)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2010.

S185218 G039091 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ, JR.,
(ALEJANDRO) & LONG
(CHADRIC MARK)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2010.

S185255 A126241 First Appellate District, Div. 3

**ALVISO (DAVID S.) v.
SONOMA COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2010.

S185308 G042102 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **SHAH (MAHMUNIR) v. SHAW (ASLAM)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2010.

S185336 D055579 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **TORREY HILLS COMMUNITY COALITION v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO (WESTBROOK TORREY HILLS, L.P.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2010.

S185346 C061947 Third Appellate District **GILB (DAVID A.) v. CHIANG (JOHN)/(SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2010.

S185396 C060089 Third Appellate District **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. v. STONEHAVEN MANOR LLC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2010.

S033901 **PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (CATHERINE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Gail R. Weinheimer's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 30, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 1, 2010. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S052210 **PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ III (JERRY)**

Extension of time granted

Respondent's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Mark A. Johnson's

representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by July 7, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S057156**PEOPLE v. CASE (CHARLES EDWARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 8, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 30, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 70 additional days are contemplated.

S065233**PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD DANIEL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 30, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S075727**PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (CEDRIC JEROME)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 28, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2010. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 390 additional days are contemplated.

S087773**PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (RUBEN PEREZ)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 23, 2010. After that date, only

two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S089311

**PEOPLE v. HENRIQUEZ
(CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by November 25, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S089478

**PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG
THANH)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Adrienne S. Denault's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 28, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S091898

**PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT
RAUL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Andrew S. Love's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 30, 2010. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 340 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S101984

**PEOPLE v. CHISM (CALVIN
DION)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark D. Lenenberg's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 31, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 30, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days are contemplated.

S109197**PEOPLE v. VAN PELT
(JAMES GLENN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ronald S. Smith's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 6, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118629**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR.,
(ROBERT LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel H. Mitchell Caldwell's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 8, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 70 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180890

A123006 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**JANKEY (LES) v. LEE (SONG
KOO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 11, 2010.

No further extension will be granted.

S181611

G040151 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

**PEOPLE v. NELSON
(SAMUEL MOSES)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Answer Brief on Merits is extended to October 18, 2010.

S181638

E047368 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

IN RE W.B., JR.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 19, 2010.

S181781 B217141 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **OASIS WEST REALTY LLC.
v. GOLDMAN (KENNETH A.)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 1, 2010.

Based on the representation of Stuart B. Esner, counsel for plaintiff, that he does not anticipate the need for further extensions of time, no further extensions are contemplated.

S183703 G040798 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PARKS (ALLAN) v. MBNA
AMERICAN BANK, N.A.**

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Nancy L. Perkins for admission pro hac vice to appear as co-counsel on behalf of respondent is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S182621 F057384 Fifth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. DOWL (LEWIS
MARCUS)**

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Central California Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S184686 **FLEMING ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING, State Bar Number 160509, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
2. GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 21, 2010; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. GILBERT BRUCE FLEMING must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and that such payment be enforceable as provided for under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184688**DADE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DUANE D'ROY DADE, State Bar Number 140379, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. DUANE D'ROY DADE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Pernesia Lemle in the amount of \$222.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 28, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Pernesia Lemle, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. He makes restitution to Sharon J. Bryant (Halcromb) in the amount of \$4,260.00 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 23, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Sharon J. Bryant (Halcromb), in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - iii. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. DUANE D'ROY DADE must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 25, 2010.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DUANE D'ROY DADE has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DUANE D'ROY DADE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DUANE D'ROY DADE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011 and 2012. If DUANE D'ROY DADE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. DUANE D'ROY DADE must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5

S184690**DILLON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THOMAS VICTOR DILLON, State Bar Number 236380, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. THOMAS VICTOR DILLON must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 8, 2010; and
2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if THOMAS VICTOR DILLON has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

THOMAS VICTOR DILLON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. THOMAS VICTOR DILLON must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184694**ERICSON ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON, State Bar Number 50457, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

1. SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 90 days, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness

to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

2. SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. SYDNEY KEYTH ERICSON must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184698**BEHNAM ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KAMRAN BEHNAM, State Bar Number 191986, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. KAMRAN BEHNAM is suspended from the practice of law for the first five months of probation;
2. KAMRAN BEHNAM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 21, 2010; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KAMRAN BEHNAM has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KAMRAN BEHNAM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

KAMRAN BEHNAM must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011 and 2012. If KAMRAN BEHNAM fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. KAMRAN BEHNAM must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184703**FAITH ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN RANDALL FAITH, State Bar Number 50474, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

1. JOHN RANDALL FAITH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of six months, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. JOHN RANDALL FAITH must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

JOHN RANDALL FAITH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOHN RANDALL FAITH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. JOHN RANDALL FAITH must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment obligation is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184707**RARIDAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JULIE HELENA RARIDAN, State Bar Number 195857, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JULIE HELENA RARIDAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. JULIE HELENA RARIDAN must reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and that such payment be enforceable as provided for under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S184716**MATRANGA ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STACEY ANNETTE MATRANGA, State Bar Number 204308, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

STACEY ANNETTE MATRANGA must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 20, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

STACEY ANNETTE MATRANGA must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S186589**McCURDY ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARY KYLE McCURDY, State Bar Number 127344, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186594**PETERSON ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RICHARD DAVID PETERSEN, State Bar Number 119025, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186599**PROSSER ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LINDA JO PROSSER, State Bar Number 106214, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186600**QUINN ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOAN VIRGINIA QUINN, State Bar Number 77831, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186601**RUUD ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RONALD CHARLES RUUD, State Bar Number 79649, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186604**SELLS, JR., ON
RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLIAM LOTHIAN SELLS, JR., State Bar Number 54961, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186607**SWARTZ ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ROBERT A. SWARTZ, State Bar Number 128098, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186609**URIBE ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of CONSTANCE ANN URIBE, State Bar Number 80773, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186610**ATKINS ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RILEY JAMES ATKINS, State Bar Number 78980, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S186611**WILLEY ON RESIGNATION**

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of ALEXIS WARD WILLEY, State Bar Number 127513, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 942)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

